





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/636.206	04/22/	96 LUKIC	G	

IM31/0520

PETER C RICHARDSON PFIZER INC 235 EAST 42ND STREET NEW YORK NY 10017-5755

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

EX	AMINER
MAKI,S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1733	15
ATE MAILED:	05/20/98

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

		ADVIOCIT ACTION			
THE P	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a) 🔲 is	extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final re	ejection	
p) [e)	pires three months from the date of went however, will the statutory peri-	f the final rejection or as of the mailing od for the response expire later than six	date of this Advisory Action, who months from the date of the fire	ichever is later. In no nal rejection.	
Ti pu	ne date on which the response, the urposes of determining the period o	ed by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.1 petition, and the fee have been filed is f extension and the corresponding amo of the originally set shortened statutory	the date of the response and a unt of the fee. Any extension fe	also the date for the ee pursuant to 37 CFR	
<u> </u>	lant's Brief is due in accordance wi				
Applic to place	ant's response to the final rejection ce the application in condition for al	, filed 5-6-98 has been co lowance:	insidered with the following effe	ct, but it is not deemed	
1. 🔲 Th	e proposed amendments to the cla	im and /or specification will not be enter	red and the final rejection stand	Is because:	
a.	There is no convincing showing presented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propo	sed amendment is necessary a	ınd was not earlier	
b.	☐ They raise new issues that wou	ld require further consideration and/or s	search. (See Note).		
C.	They raise the issue of new ma	atter. (See Note).			
d.	They are not deemed to place appeal.	the application in better form for appear	l by materially reducing or simp	olifying the issues for	
e.	☐ They present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.		
NO	OTE:	•			
	ewly proposed or amended claims e non-allowable claims.	would be allowed i	f submitted in a separately filed	l amendment cancelling	
	pon the filing an appeal, the propose as follows:	ed amendment will be entered	will not be entered and the sta	tus of the claims will	
Cl	aims allowed:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	aims objected to: aims rejected: 15-17 an	1 20-22			
\	However; F Applicant's response has overco	me the following rejection(s): #he	rejections again	c+ claims	
,	23-24 and 26-2	1			
4. X TT	ne affidavit, exhibit or request for re Eaches bonding a S	consideration has been considered but tent to a preforme	does not overcome the rejection	n because <u>Giant</u> urco	
	e affidavit or exhibit will not be con- esented.	sidered because applicant has not show	n good and sufficent reasons v	why it was not earlier) ,
☐ The pro	oposed drawing correction ha	s has not been approved by the e	xaminer.	The Mal	0 00
☐ Other		,		STEVEN D. MAKI	1-4
				PRIMARY EXAMINER - GROUP 1300-	
DTOL 202 (D	DCV 6.80\		ฃ	AU 1733 I.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704	-